Richland County Health Department Richland County Tobacco Prevention

Final Report: 2011 Secondhand Smoke Study of Wahpeton

Prepared by

WINKELMAN CONSULTING

PO BOX 11375 • FARGO, ND 58106-1375
701-799-0877 • Cell/Voice Mail
701-237-6877 • Fax Machine
701-237-2283 • Office
MRWinkelman@cableone.net

March 2011

TABLE OF CONTENTS

M E T H O D O L O G Y	1-1
Purpose	1-1
COLLECTION TECHNIQUE & TIMING	
SAMPLING FRAME & SAMPLE SIZE	1-1
MARGIN OF ERROR	1-1
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	2-1
Purpose #1: Assess perceived risks of secondhand smoke	2-1
Purpose #2: Assess perceptions related to where smoking should be allowed	
Purpose #3: Measure perceptions related to secondhand smoke in bars and	
PURPOSE #4: MONITOR REACTION TO EXPANDING THE CURRENT LAW	
Smoking Status	
FIGURES & CHARTS: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS	3-1
Purpose #1: Assess perceived risks of secondhand smoke	3-1
FIGURE 1 A. (2006-2010) WHAT DO YOU FEEL IS THE IMPACT SECONDHAND SMOKE HEALTH OF A NONSMOKER IF THE NONSMOKER WORKS IN A PUBLIC PLACE WHERE S	
ALLOWED?	3-1
Figure 1B. (2006-2010) What do you feel is the impact secondhand smoke	
HEALTH OF A NONSMOKER IF THE NONSMOKER FREQUENTLY VISITS PUBLIC PLACES V	
ALLOWED?	3-2
Purpose #2: Assess perceptions related to where smoking should be allowed	3-3
Figure 2. (2006-2010) Where should smoking be allowed in private busines.	
GOVERNMENT WORKPLACES?	3-3
Purpose #3: Measure perceptions related to secondhand smoke in bars and	
Figure 3a. (2006-2010) Which of the following two statements do you fee	
YOUR PERSONAL POINT OF VIEW?	
Figure 3b. (2006 all & 2010 without ordinance) If <u>all</u> of the bars and loun	
COMMUNITY BECAME COMPLETELY SMOKE-FREE, HOW WOULD THAT AFFECT HOW	
TO A BAR OR LOUNGE? (2010 WITH ORDINANCE) SINCE ALL OF THE BARS AND LOUCED AND COMMUNITY HAVE BECOME COMPLETELY SMOKE-FREE, HOW HAS IT AFFECTED HO	
GO OUT TO A BAR OR LOUNGE?	
FIGURE 3C. (2006 ALL & 2010 WITHOUT ORDINANCE) WHAT WOULD BE YOUR REAC	
PROPOSED IN YOUR COMMUNITY TO ELIMINATE ALL TOBACCO SMOKE FROM ALL IN	
workplaces, including bars and lounges? (2010 with ordinance) Over	
YOU DESCRIBE YOUR REACTION TO THE <u>CURRENT</u> SMOKE-FREE CITY ORDINANCES IT	
COMMUNITY?	3-6
Purpose #4: Monitor reaction to expanding the current law	3-7
Figure 4a. (2006-2010) How would you describe your reaction to <u>expand</u>	<u>ING</u> THE STATE
smoke-free law to <u>prohibit</u> smoking in <u>all</u> North Dakota workplaces, in	
LOUNGES?	
FIGURE 4B. (2010) HAVE YOU EVER BEEN INSIDE A SMOKE-FREE BAR OR LOUNGE?	
Figure 4c. (2010) In your opinion, has visiting a smoke-free bar or lounge	
SMOKING STATUS	
FIGURE 5A. (2008-2010) DO YOU NOW SMOKE CIGARETTES	
Figure 5B. (2002-2006 & 2010) Smoking status of respondents	3-10

THE	Q U E S T I O N N A I R E	l-1
CON	TINGENCY TABLES5	5-1
Wah	ewide: 2010 Weighted Contingency Tables by Region (Respondents 18+ years of age) Peton: 2010 Weighted Contingency Tables by Demographics (Respondents 18+ years of .e)	:

Section

METHODOLOGY

Purpose

The overall purpose of this research study was to (1) obtain information that will be used to refine plans and strategies that enhance the tobacco-free position in the minds of consumers and (2) provide data that is comparable to the "2010 Statewide Secondhand Smoke Study." Therefore, the study focused on the following issues:

Assess perceived risks of secondhand smoke
Assess perceptions related to where smoking should be allowed
Measure perceptions related to secondhand smoke in bars and lounges
Monitor reaction to expanding the current law

Collection Technique & Timing

All data was collected through the use of telephone interviews from November 15 to December 9, 2010 (Statewide) and January 27 to February 10, 2011 (Wahpeton). The data collection was completed in compliance with specifications established by Winkelman Consulting. Interviewing was supervised and performed by trained personnel from Performance Marketing & Research - Fargo.

Sampling Frame & Sample Size

The population from which the sample was drawn included Wahpeton adults (18 years of age or older). A random-systematic sampling technique was employed in this study to select respondents. From the selected sample of respondents, 360 interviews were completed (16 from the Statewide sample and 344 from the Wahpeton sample).

Like the Statewide Study, the results were weighted to assure that the distribution of the sample was representative of the community's actual population distribution.

Margin of Error

The 360 completed interviews provide a 95% confidence level with an overall minimum and maximum margin of error of $\pm 3.0\%$ and $\pm 5.0\%$, respectively, in estimating the proportion of the population that possess a certain characteristic or opinion. In other words, if 100 samples (all 360 in size) were drawn from this population, approximately 95 of the samples would have proportions within $\pm 3.0\%$ and $\pm 5.0\%$ of the proportions of the entire population for the characteristic or opinion being measured.

The margin of error explained previously only applies to responses of the *entire* sample. As shown in the following chart, the margin of error will be larger when looking at the responses of smaller segments.

			Margin of Error for results at or about				
Populations	Completions	Adults	10%/90%	20%/80%	30%/70%	40%/60%	50%/50%
Total Sample	360	6,765	3.0%	4.0%	4.6%	4.9%	5.0%
General	350	6,577	3.1%	4.1%	4.7%	5.0%	5.1%
Sub-segments	325	6,107	3.2%	4.2%	4.8%	5.2%	5.3%
	300	5,638	3.3%	4.4%	5.0%	5.4%	5.5%
	275	5,168	3.5%	4.6%	5.3%	5.6%	5.8%
	250	4,698	3.6%	4.8%	5.5%	5.9%	6.0%
	225	4,228	3.8%	5.1%	5.8%	6.2%	6.4%
	200	3,758	4.0%	5.4%	6.2%	6.6%	6.7%
	175	3,289	4.3%	5.8%	6.6%	7.1%	7.2%
	150	2,819	4.7%	6.2%	7.1%	7.6%	7.8%
	125	2,349	5.1%	6.8%	7.8%	8.4%	8.5%
	100	1,879	5.7%	7.6%	8.7%	9.3%	9.5%
	75	1,409	6.6%	8.8%	10.1%	10.8%	11.0%
	50	940	8.1%	10.8%	12.4%	13.2%	13.5%
	25	470	11.4%	15.3%	17.5%	18.7%	19.1%

^{*} The <u>maximum</u> margin of error is shown in the "50%/50%" column and the <u>minimum</u> margin of error is shown in the "10%/90%" column.

Section 2

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

When reviewing the executive summary, the findings and conclusions will be more thoroughly understood if several other sections of the report are also reviewed. First, the questionnaire in Section 4 provides the actual phrasing for each question. A solid understanding of the context in which each question was asked will enable you to more accurately interpret the findings. Second, the contingency tables in Section 5 provide detailed results for many different sample segments. Third, an electronic copy of the "2010 Statewide Secondhand Smoke Study" will also be provided to you and provide much more information related to that ongoing study. Since the condensed nature of this summary report format does not allow us to address all of these findings, we strongly recommend that you review the contingency tables and use them to facilitate any major decisions you make.

Purpose #1: Assess perceived risks of secondhand smoke

A vast majority of respondents believe it is at least somewhat harmful for a nonsmoker to work in a bar or other public place where smoking is allowed (94.9% in Wahpeton, 95.0% in Region 5, and 93.8% Statewide) and/or frequently visit a bar or other public place where smoking is allowed (93.0% in Wahpeton, 90.5% in Region 5, and 90.4% Statewide). However, a slightly higher proportion of Wahpeton respondents reported secondhand smoke is only "somewhat harmful" to nonsmokers in these situations.

Purpose #2: Assess perceptions related to where smoking should be allowed

□ The proportion of Wahpeton respondents that stated smoking should not be allowed <u>either</u> in the building <u>or</u> on the surrounding grounds was similar to the region/statewide results (37.0% in Wahpeton, 33.6% in Region 5, and 34.9% Statewide).

Purpose #3: Measure perceptions related to secondhand smoke in bars and lounges

- □ The proportion of Wahpeton respondents that said the statement "employees and nonsmokers have a right to breathe clean air in bars or lounges, so we <u>should</u> have laws that prohibit smoking in bars or lounges" comes closer to their <u>personal</u> point of view was slightly higher than in the statewide results (68.3% in Wahpeton, 65.1% in Region 5, and 59.9% Statewide).
- □ A slightly lower proportion of Wahpeton respondents reported their community does have an expanded smoke-free ordinance (20.5% in Wahpeton, 47.1% in Region 5, and 29.8% Statewide).

☐ The proportion of respondents that said they would visit (or have visited) a bar or lounge much or somewhat more (37.6% in Wahpeton, 29.9% in Region 5, and 28.5% Statewide) is larger than the proportion who said they would visit a bar or lounge much or somewhat less (5.4% in Wahpeton, 5.7% in Region 5, and 6.3% Statewide). However, a slightly lower proportion of Wahpeton respondents said they would go to a bar or lounge either "just as often" or "more often" if all of the bars and lounges in their community became completely smoke-free (72.7% in Wahpeton, 83.7% in Region 5, and 79.3% Statewide) – mainly because 21.9% were "not sure" how they would react. A majority of respondents said they would strongly or somewhat support a community law to eliminate or prohibit smoking in all workplaces including bars and lounges (71.6% in Wahpeton, 82.0% in Region 5, and 75.6% Statewide). In contrast, a much smaller proportion of respondents reported they would strongly or somewhat oppose such a community law (15.1% in Wahpeton, 12.4% in Region 5, and 16.2% Statewide). Compared to the region results, however, support for expanding local smoke-free laws does not appear to be as strongly supported in Wahpeton. Purpose #4: Monitor reaction to expanding the current law □ A majority of respondents (69.6% in Wahpeton, 78.8% in Region 5, and 72.5% Statewide) said they would strongly or somewhat support a state law to eliminate smoking in all workplaces including bars and lounges. Compared to the region results, support for expanding the state smoke-free law also does not appear to be as strongly supported in Wahpeton. □ More than seven of every ten respondents (73.4% in Wahpeton, 77.6% in Region 5, and 72.0% Statewide) said they have visited a smoke-free bar or lounge. Of those who have visited a smoke-free bar or lounge, more than six of every ten respondents said the experience made them more likely to support expanding the state smoke-free law (60.3% in Wahpeton, 64.3% in Region 5, and 65.4% Statewide). **Smoking Status** ☐ The proportion of current smokers surveyed is relatively low (20.9% in Wahpeton, 14.1% in Region 5, and 12.4% Statewide).



FIGURES & CHARTS: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Purpose #1: Assess perceived risks of secondhand smoke

Using a scale of very harmful, harmful, somewhat harmful, not very harmful, or not at all harmful, all respondents were asked what impact they feel secondhand smoke will have on the health of a <u>non</u>smoker if the <u>non</u>smoker (1) works in a bar, lounge, or other public place where smoking is allowed, or (2) frequently visits a bar, lounge, or other public place where smoking is allowed. As can be seen in **Figures 1a and 1b**, a vast majority of respondents believe it is at least somewhat harmful for a nonsmoker to work in a bar or other public place where smoking is allowed (94.9% in Wahpeton, 95.0% in Region 5, and 93.8% Statewide) and/or frequently visit a bar or other public place where smoking is allowed (93.0% in Wahpeton, 90.5% in Region 5, and 90.4% Statewide). However, a slightly higher proportion of Wahpeton respondents reported secondhand smoke is only "somewhat harmful" to nonsmokers in these situations.

Figure 1a. (2006-2010) What do you feel is the impact secondhand smoke will have on the health of a nonsmoker if the nonsmoker works in a public place where smoking is allowed?






Figure 1b. (2006-2010) What do you feel is the impact secondhand smoke will have on the health of a nonsmoker if the nonsmoker frequently visits public places where smoking is allowed?



Purpose #2: Assess perceptions related to where smoking should be allowed

For workplaces in which smoking is <u>not</u> currently allowed, all respondents were asked where smoking should be allowed in private businesses and other non-government offices or work places. As can be seen in **Figure 2**, the proportion of Wahpeton respondents that stated smoking should not be allowed <u>either</u> in the building <u>or</u> on the surrounding grounds was similar to the region/statewide results (37.0% in Wahpeton, 33.6% in Region 5, and 34.9% Statewide).


Figure 2. (2006-2010) Where should smoking be allowed in private businesses and other non-government workplaces?



Purpose #3: Measure perceptions related to secondhand smoke in bars and lounges


All respondents were asked to indicate which <u>one</u> of <u>two</u> statements they feel comes closer to their <u>personal</u> point of view. As can be seen in **Figure 3a**, the proportion of Wahpeton respondents that said the statement "employees and nonsmokers have a right to breathe clean air in bars or lounges, so we <u>should</u> have laws that prohibit smoking in bars or lounges" comes closer to their <u>personal</u> point of view was slightly higher than in the statewide results (68.3% in Wahpeton, 65.1% in Region 5, and 59.9% Statewide).

Figure 3a. (2006-2010/2011) Which of the following two statements do you feel comes closer to your personal point of view?



- In 2010 and 2011, all respondents were asked if their community has a smoke-free ordinance that <u>prohibits</u> smoking in <u>all</u> of the bars and lounges. Overall, a slightly lower proportion of Wahpeton respondents reported their community <u>does</u> have an expanded smoke-free ordinance (20.5% in Wahpeton, 47.1% in Region 5, and 29.8% Statewide).
- All respondents¹ were asked how it would affect how often they go out to a bar or lounge if <u>all</u> of the bars and lounges in their community became completely smokefree. As can be seen in **Figure 3b**, the proportion of respondents that said they would visit (or have visited) a bar or lounge much or somewhat <u>more</u> (37.6% in Wahpeton, 29.9% in Region 5, and 28.5% Statewide) is larger than the proportion who said they would visit a bar or lounge much or somewhat <u>less</u> (5.4% in Wahpeton, 5.7% in Region 5, and 6.3% Statewide). However, a slightly lower proportion of Wahpeton respondents said they would go to a bar or lounge <u>either</u> "just as often" <u>or</u> "more often" if <u>all</u> of the bars and lounges in their community became completely smokefree (72.7% in Wahpeton, 83.7% in Region 5, and 79.3% Statewide) mainly because 21.9% were "not sure" how they would react.


Figure 3b. (2006 all & 2010/2011 without ordinance) If <u>all</u> of the bars and lounges in your community became completely smoke-free, how would that affect how often you go out to a bar or lounge? (2010/2011 with ordinance) Since <u>all</u> of the bars and lounges in your community have become completely smoke-free, how has it affected how often you <u>now</u> go out to a bar or lounge?



¹ In 2010, those who said their community <u>does</u> have an expanded smoke-free ordinance were asked how the ordinance has affected how often they <u>now</u> go out to a bar or lounge since <u>all</u> of the bars and lounges in their community have become completely smoke-free. Those who said their community does <u>not</u> have an expanded smoke-free ordinance were asked the same question asked in previous years.

All respondents² were asked what their reaction would be if a <u>law</u> was proposed in <u>their</u> community to eliminate <u>all</u> tobacco smoke from <u>all</u> indoor workplaces – including bars and lounges. **Figure 3c** shows that a majority of respondents said they would strongly or somewhat <u>support</u> a community law to eliminate or prohibit smoking in <u>all</u> workplaces including bars and lounges (71.6% in Wahpeton, 82.0% in Region 5, and 75.6% Statewide). In contrast, a much smaller proportion of respondents reported they would strongly or somewhat <u>oppose</u> such a community law (15.1% in Wahpeton, 12.4% in Region 5, and 16.2% Statewide). Compared to the region results, however, support for expanding local smoke-free laws does <u>not</u> appear to be as strongly supported in Wahpeton.

Figure 3c. (2006 all & 2010/2011 without ordinance) What would be your reaction if a <u>law</u> was proposed in <u>your</u> community to eliminate <u>all</u> tobacco smoke from <u>all</u> indoor workplaces, including bars and lounges? (2010/2011 with ordinance) Overall, how would you describe your reaction to the <u>current</u> smoke-free city ordinances in your community? (Includes all respondents)




Copyright © 2011 Winkelman Consulting

² In 2010, those who said their community <u>does</u> have an expanded smoke-free ordinance were asked how they would describe their overall reaction to the <u>current</u> smoke-free city ordinances in their community. Those who said their community does <u>not</u> have an expanded smoke-free ordinance were asked the same question asked in previous years.

Purpose #4: Monitor reaction to expanding the current law


All respondents were asked what their reaction would be if the state smoke-free law was <u>expanded</u> to <u>prohibit</u> smoking in <u>all</u> North Dakota workplaces including bars and lounges. **Figure 4a** shows that a majority of respondents (69.6% in Wahpeton, 78.8% in Region 5, and 72.5% Statewide) said they would strongly or somewhat <u>support</u> a state law to eliminate smoking in <u>all</u> workplaces including bars and lounges. Compared to the region results, support for expanding the state smoke-free law also does <u>not</u> appear to be as strongly supported in Wahpeton.

Figure 4a. (2006-2010/2011) How would you describe your reaction to <u>expanding</u> the state smoke-free law to <u>prohibit</u> smoking in <u>all</u> North Dakota workplaces, including bars and lounges?




□ All respondents were asked if they have <u>ever</u> been inside a smoke-free bar or lounge. As can be seen in **Figure 4b**, more than seven of every ten respondents (73.4% in Wahpeton, 77.6% in Region 5, and 72.0% Statewide) said they have visited a smoke-free bar or lounge.

Figure 4b. (2010/2011) Have you <u>ever</u> been inside a smoke-free bar or lounge? (Includes all respondents)



☐ The respondents who said they have been inside a smoke-free bar or lounge were then asked what impact they feel visiting a smoke-free bar or lounge has had on their reaction to <u>expanding</u> the state smoke-free law to <u>prohibit</u> smoking in <u>all</u> North Dakota workplaces, including bars and lounges. **Figure 4c** shows that, of those who have visited a smoke-free bar or lounge, more than six of every ten respondents said the experience made them more likely to <u>support expanding</u> the state smoke-free law (60.3% in Wahpeton, 64.3% in Region 5, and 65.4% Statewide).

Figure 4c. (2010/2011) In your opinion, has visiting a smoke-free bar or lounge made you... (Includes all respondents who said they have been inside a smoke-free bar or lounge)



Smoking Status

All respondents were asked two questions to determine their smoking status. **Figures 5a and 5b** show that the proportion of <u>current</u> smokers surveyed is relatively low (20.9% in Wahpeton, 14.1% in Region 5, and 12.4% Statewide).

Figure 5a. (2008-2010/2011) Do you now smoke cigarettes... (Includes all respondents)





Figure 5b. (2002-2006 & 2010/2011) Smoking status of respondents. (Includes all respondents)



Section

THE QUESTIONNAIRE

The questionnaires developed for the North Dakota Public Education on Tobacco Task Force for the 2008 and 2006 "Secondhand Smoke Study of North Dakota" were used as the templates for this questionnaire. The following questionnaire was designed with assistance from the members of the questionnaire development team assembled by Mr. Clint Boots.

- Clint Boots, North Dakota Department of Health, Tobacco Prevention and Control Program
- Karalee Harper, North Dakota Department of Health, Tobacco Prevention and Control Program
- Jeanne Prom, Center for Tobacco Prevention and Control Policy
- Javayne Oyloe, Upper Missouri District Health Unit and North Dakota Public Education on Tobacco Task Force
- Vicki Rosenau, City-County Health District and North Dakota Public Education on Tobacco Task Force

The questionnaire in this report was used for all interviews conducted for this study.

Case #:	Employee #:	Date:	//	Start Time:	: a.m. p.m.	Resp. #:
Period.	[Do Not Read] Survey Period =	= 6 - Fall 2010				
Intro1a.	· • —	ed issues in your a urvey will only tak	rea. I'm <u>not</u> s e about 4 to 5	elling anything an minutes, there are	d will <u>not</u> call you l <u>no right or wrong</u>	oack after this interview
	To make sure we get the to $DC1a \leftarrow \leftarrow \leftarrow \leftarrow \leftarrow$					
		2	2No			
Disco	ontinue Close (DC1) \leftarrow		3Refuse	ed, no response		
	Is anyone in your housel to $A33 \leftarrow \leftarrow \leftarrow \leftarrow \leftarrow \leftarrow$			$\rightarrow \rightarrow \rightarrow \rightarrow \rightarrow [$	Check region quota)	!
	ontinue Close (DC1) $\leftarrow \leftarrow$ ontinue Close (DC1) $\leftarrow \leftarrow$			ed, no response		
	[IF "male" quota is full, a youngest male in your he to $A33 \leftarrow \leftarrow \leftarrow \leftarrow \leftarrow$	ousehold who is 18	to 54 years of	age?	interview, may I p	lease speak to the
	o speak to that person \leftarrow to Intro2 when they come t		ondent is <u>not</u> yo	oungest male <u>but</u> yo	oungest male <u>is</u> avail	able
		3 No, youn	gest male is <u>no</u> t	available		
SKIP	to DC1c \leftarrow \leftarrow \leftarrow \leftarrow	4 No, there	are <u>no</u> 18 to 54	males in the house	ehold	
DC1b.	[IF the respondent is the youngest male <u>is</u> available SKIP to DC1c.] Since he age?	e(DC1a=2), then	SKIP to Intro2.] [IF there are <u>no</u> i	males in the househo	old $(DC1a = 4)$, then
SKIP	to A33 \leftarrow \leftarrow \leftarrow \leftarrow	1 Yes, resp	ondent is male			
	o speak to that person \leftarrow to Intro2 when they come t		ondent is <u>not</u> m	ale <u>but</u> a male <u>is</u> av	ailable	
		3 No, male 4 No, there		e I males in the house	ehold	
	[IF the respondent is an 1 old male <u>but</u> an 18 to 54 y Discontinue Close (DC1). to $A33 \leftarrow \leftarrow \leftarrow \leftarrow \leftarrow$	vear old male <u>is</u> ava] May I please spe	ilable (DC1b = ak to the <u>youn</u>	= 2), then SKIP to Ingest female in you	ntro2.] [IF "female'	' quota is full, then
	o speak to that person \leftarrow to Intro2 when they come t		ondent is <u>not</u> yo	oungest female <u>but</u>	youngest female <u>is</u> a	vailable
		3 No, youn	gest female is <u>n</u>	ot available		
Set/so	chedule callback $\leftarrow\leftarrow\leftarrow$	4 No, there	are <u>no</u> 18 to 54	females in the hou	sehold	
	[IF the respondent is the youngest female is available Discontinue Close (DC1). 54 years of age?	ble (DC1c = 2), then J Since she is not a	n SKIP to Intro vailable, may	2.] [IF there are <u>no</u> I please speak to <u>a</u>	o females in the hous	sehold ($DC1c = 4$), then
	to A33 ← ← ← ←	•				
GO to	o speak to that person \leftarrow o Intro2 when they come to	the phone			<u>s</u> available	
Set/so	chedule callback $\leftarrow \leftarrow \leftarrow$ chedule callback $\leftarrow \leftarrow \leftarrow$	4 No, there	are <u>no</u> 18 to 54	females in the hou		
Intro2.	[IF the respondent is an I old female <u>but</u> an 18 to 54 available (DC1d > 2), the Fargo, and I'm <u>not</u> sellir survey will only take abo <u>confidential</u> . This surve	year old female is no set/schedule a can anything, I'm sincut 3 to 5 minutes,	available (DCI llback.] Hi, my mply conducti there are <u>no</u> ri	d = 2), then SKIP name is (Your Firng a survey about ight or wrong answ	to Intro2.] [IF <u>no</u> 18 st Name) from Wind smoking-related iss wers, and your answ	8 to 54 year olds are kelman Consulting in sues in your area. The
SKIP	back to DC1a ← ← ←	2 No, not s	ure, no respons	e		
A33.	(Old Q37) [IF the responder respondent's gender.			> 1), then SKIP ba	ck to DC1a.] [Do N	ot Read] Enter
		Male (50% per reg Female (50% per r				

Purpose: Perceived risks of secondhand smoke

A11-12. First, I'd like to ask you a few questions about secondhand smoke -- that is -- smoke you breathe from other people's cigarettes, cigars, or pipes. Using a scale of very harmful, harmful, somewhat harmful, not very harmful, or not at all

harmful, what impact do you feel secondhand smoke will have on the health of a nonsmoker if the nonsmoker...

	Very harmful	Harmful	Somewhat harmful	Not very harmful	Not at all harmful	Not sure
A11. (Old Q14 modified) Works in a bar, lounge, or other public place where smoking is allowed?	1	2	3	4	5	91
A12. (Old Q15 modified) Frequently visits a bar, lounge, or other public place where smoking is allowed?	1	2	3	4	5	91

Purpose: Where smoking should be allowed?

A18.	(Old Q6 modified) As you may already know, the <u>current</u> state smoke-free law <u>prohibits</u> smoking <u>inside</u> private businesses
	and other non-government offices or work places. In your opinion, where should smoking be prohibited for private
	businesses and other non-government offices or work places – would you say

3 Smoking should not be allowed at all <u>inside</u> the building <u>only</u> – or –

4Smoking should not be allowed at all either inside the building or on the surrounding grounds?

91[Do Not Read] Not sure, no response

Purpose: Bars & lounges

(Old N9b modified) Which one of the next two statements do you feel comes closer to your personal point of view... A22.

1 One, <Pause> bar owners have a right to decide whether or not people smoke in their places of business, so we should <u>not</u> have laws that prohibit smoking in bars or lounges, <*Pause>* or <Pause>...

2Two, < Pause> employees and nonsmokers have a right to breathe clean air in bars or lounges, so we should have laws that prohibit smoking in bars or lounges.

91[Do Not Read] Not sure, both equally, neither, no response

 $(\textit{New}) \ \textbf{Does the community you live in } \underline{\textbf{currently}} \ \textbf{have a smoke-free ordinance that } \underline{\textbf{prohibits}} \ \textbf{smoking in } \underline{\textbf{all}} \ \textbf{of the bars}$ C24a. and lounges in your community?

1Yes SKIP to A24 \leftarrow \leftarrow $2 \ldots \ldots No$ SKIP to A24 ← ← ← 91 Not sure, no response

(New) [IF community does <u>not</u> have smoke-free bars/lounges (C24a > 1), then SKIP to A24 (C24b to C25 = 999)] Since <u>all</u> of the bars and lounges in your community have become completely smoke-free, how has it affected how often you now go out to a bar or lounge - would you say you would now go out to a bar or lounge...

1 Much less, 2Somewhat less,

3Just as often,

4Somewhat more, or

5 Much more than you did <u>before</u> the bars and lounges in your community became smoke-

91[Do Not Read] Not sure, no response

Respondent does not live in a community with smoke-free bars/lounges.

C25. (New) Overall, how would you describe your reaction to the current smoke-free city ordinances in your community would you say you...

SKIP to A26 ← ← ← 1Strongly support, *SKIP to A26* ← ← ← 2Somewhat support,

SKIP to A26 ← ← ← 3Somewhat oppose,

SKIP to A26 ← ← ← 4Strongly oppose, or

SKIP to A26 ← ← ← smoking in bars and lounges?

91[Do Not Read] Not sure, no response *SKIP to A26* ← ← ←

...Respondent does not live in a community with smoke-free bars/lounges

A24. (New, Old Q25-26 modified) [IF community \underline{does} have smoke-free bars/lounges (C24a = 1), then SKIP to A26 (A24 to A25 = 999)] If <u>all</u> of the bars and lounges in your community became completely smoke-free, how would that affect how often you go out to a bar or lounge - would you say you would go out...

1 Much less,

2Somewhat less,

3Just as often,

4Somewhat more, or

5Much more than you do now?

91[Do Not Read] Not sure, no response

999Respondent does live in a community with smoke-free bars/lounges

Purpose: Reaction to expanding the current smoke-free law

A25.	smoke-free, inclu	uding <u>all</u> bars and lounges. What acco smoke from <u>all</u> indoor works 1 Strongly support, 2 Somewhat support, 3 Somewhat oppose, 4 Strongly oppose, or	no response	oposed in <u>your</u> community to
A26.	<u>prohibit</u> smoking	g in <u>all</u> North Dakota workplaces, 1Strongly support, 2Somewhat support, 3Somewhat oppose, 4Strongly oppose, or 5Have no reaction to experimental experi	no response	u say you would
C26a.	(New) Have you e	ver been inside a smoke-free bar 1 Yes	or lounge?	
	to $C27a \leftarrow \leftarrow \leftarrow$ to $C27a \leftarrow \leftarrow \leftarrow$	2No 91Not sure, no response		
C26b.		ting a smoke-free bar or lounge m 1More likely to support, 2More likely to oppose, of 3Had no impact on your	r reaction to expanding the state smoke-fi kplaces, including bars and lounges? no response	
Purpo	se: Demograp	hics		
	name. Have you	maining questions are for statistic smoked at least 100 cigarettes in 1Yes 2No	al purposes only. None of your answers your entire life?	s will <u>ever</u> be identified by
		91 Not sure, no response		
A28b.	99).] Do you <u>nov</u>	v smoke cigarettes 1Everyday, 2Some days, or	arettes in their lifetime (A28 $a>1$), then S.	KIP to A30 (A28b to A29 =
	to A30 ← ← to A30 ← ←	3	no response espondent has <u>not</u> smoked at least 100 cigarettes	
A29.		ny cigarettes do you now smoke? X	average day: packs acks or more) per day ays nge" or estimate before using this respons swer	cigarettes
A30.		For classification purposes, may I the age group which includes you 1	have your age please? [If reluctant or re", and read the age groups.] 5	

A32.	(Old Q36) What i	1Less t 2High	than high so school graduical/trade college ge graduate post-graduate degree (Specify:	chool gradu duate school grad e (Bachelor uate work	uate, associates or 2-year degree's degree)	ee
name interv for in	is (Your First Nam iew actually took) case this interview	e), and on occas place. May I plo v is verified. Th	sion a sma ease have ank you f	ll percenta your first or your tin	ge of people like yourself are name, and first name <u>only</u> , so ne and have a good (evening/o	at I dialed Again, my called back just to verify that this o my supervisor will know who to ask day)!
Resp. F	rst Name:	Phone: ()		End Time:	
A34.	(Old Q38a) [Do Not	Read] Enter county	y code from li	ist		
A35.	(Old Q38b) [Do Not 20	t Read] Enter list. Wahpeton				
A36a.	(Old Q39a) [Do Not	Read] Enter zip coo	de from list.			
A36b.	(Old Q39b) [Do Not	t Read] Enter zip co. 1Urban (2City (5,	(20,000+)			

 $\underline{DISCONTINUE\ CLOSE} : I'm\ sorry,\ we\ all\ ready\ have\ enough\ people\ of\ your\ gender\ and\ age,\ so\ that's\ all\ the\ questions\ I\ have\ for\ you.\ Thank\ you\ for\ your\ time\ and\ have\ a\ good\ (evening/day)!$

A32.

Section 5

CONTINGENCY TABLES

Contingency tables are commonly referred to as "cross-tabs". They present the findings in an easy-to-understand, table form and provide the categorical data that is used most frequently in marketing. We strongly recommend that you review these tables and use them to facilitate any major decisions you make.

The contingency tables on the following pages show the proportion of all respondents that gave various responses to each question, as well as the proportion of specific sample segments (i.e. gender, age group, area of residence, etc.) that provided a particular response. This detail will enable you to determine which segments are more likely (or less likely) to have certain habits, intentions, opinions, perceptions and/or levels of awareness.

Please note the tables are separated into sections. The tables in each section have the same "banners" or sample segments across the top. Within each section, the tables are in order by question number, which appears on the top, left-hand side of each table.

Statewide: 2010 Weighted Contingency Tables by Region (Respondents 18+ years of age)

2010 Results ONLY
A11: Weighted 18+ Statewide Results by Region

				A3	5. Regio	ns: State	wide Sa	mple O1	nly	
		Total	Region 1: Williston	Region 2: Minot	Region 3: Devils Lake	Region 4: Grand Forks	Region 5: Fargo	Region 6: Jamestown	Region 7: Bismarck	Region 8: Dickinson
A11 (Q14 modified).	Very harmful	58.9%	53.4%	59.7%	58.9%	62.0%	55.1%	64.7%	59.7%	58.3%
What impact do you feel secondhand smoke	Harm ful	21.6%	24.9%	18.4%	20.6%	22.4%	22.6%	23.5%	20.3%	23.1%
will have on health of nonsmoker if	Som ew hat har mful	13.3%	12.2%	15.8%	10.2%	9.9%	17.3%	6.2%	14.1%	10.9%
nonsmoker works in	Not very harmful	2.9%	4.2%	4.1%	5.0%	1.9%	3.0%	1.6%	2.8%	1.3%
oar, lounge, or other bublic place where	Not at all harmful	1.9%	2.5%	1.7%	2.5%	2.3%	1.5%	.4%	2.6%	2.6%
moking is allowed? Not sure, no response	1.4%	2.7%	.4%	2.8%	1.4%	.5%	3.6%	.4%	3.7%	
Total Responses	Total Responses		73	235	108	248	444	170	347	103

Includes all respondents 18+ years of age

2010 Results ONLY
A12: Weighted 18+ Statewide Results by Region

				A3	5. Regio	ns: State	wide Sa	mple O	nly	
		Total	Region 1: Williston	Region 2: Minot	Region 3: Devils Lake	Region 4: Grand Forks	Region 5: Fargo	Region 6: Jamestown	Region 7: Bismarck	Region 8: Dickinson
A12 (Q15 modified).	Very harm ful	47.4%	44.0%	45.2%	46.5%	48.4%	45.4%	52.3%	49.8%	45.7%
What impact do you feel secondhand smoke will	Harm ful	24.9%	24.3%	24.8%	25.5%	27.1%	23.7%	26.4%	24.0%	25.5%
have on health of nonsmoker if	Som ew hat harmful	18.1%	17.7%	20.1%	16.3%	17.8%	21.4%	10.5%	17.8%	16.5%
nonsmoker frequently	Not very harm ful	4.7%	6.1%	4.7%	4.7%	1.9%	7.1%	5.6%	2.8%	4.3%
visits bar, lounge, or other public place where	Not at all harm ful	2.8%	3.4%	3.3%	3.2%	2.7%	1.3%	1.3%	4.3%	4.8%
smoking is allowed?	Not sure, no response	2.1%	4.5%	1.9%	3.9%	1.9%	1.2%	4.0%	1.3%	3.3%
Total Responses		1728	73	235	108	248	444	170	347	103

2010 Results ONLY A18: Weighted 18+ Statewide Results by Region

				A3	5. Regio	ns: State	wide Sa	mple O	nly	
		Tota l	Region 1: Williston	Region 2: Minot	Region 3: Devils Lake	Region 4: Grand Forks	Region 5: Fargo	Region 6: Jamestown	Region 7: Bismarck	Region 8: Dickinson
A18 (Q6 modified). In your opinion, where	Not allowed in building	59.5%	66.2%	62.7%	61.6%	55.1%	60.7%	64.4%	55.3%	57.2%
should smoking be prohibited for private businesses and other	Not allowed in building or on grounds	34.9%	24.2%	31.1%	32.1%	38.9%	33.6%	33.2%	41.0%	33.1%
non-government offices?	Not sure, no response	5.5%	9.6%	6.2%	6.3%	6.1%	5.7%	2.4%	3.7%	9.7%
Total Responses		1728	73	235	108	248	444	170	347	103

2010 Results ONLY
A22: Weighted 18+ Statewide Results by Region

				A3	5. Regio	ns: State	wide Sa	mple O	nly	
		Total	Region 1: Williston	Region 2: Minot	Region 3: Devils Lake	Region 4: Grand Forks	Region 5: Fargo	Region 6: Jamestown	Region 7: Bismarck	Region 8: Dickinson
A22 (N9b modified). Which one of the next two statements	Bar owners have right to decide whether or not people smoke	36.6%	43.1%	44.2%	38.8%	33.1%	31.5%	31.8%	39.4%	40.2%
do y ou feel comes closer to y our personal point of view?	Employees/non-smokers have right to breathe clean air	59.9%	52.7%	53.9%	58.1%	62.9%	65.1%	63.9%	56.7%	55.1%
V IO W :	Not sure/Both equally/Neither/No response	3.6%	4.2%	1.9%	3.1%	4.0%	3.4%	4.3%	3.8%	4.7%
Total Responses		1728	73	235	108	248	444	170	347	103

2010 Results ONLY C24a: Weighted 18+ Statewide Results by Region

				A3	5. Regio	ns: State	wide Sa	mple Oı	nly	
		Tota l	Region 1: Williston	Region 2: Minot	Region 3: De vils Lake	Region 4: Grand Forks	Region 5: Fargo	Region 6: Jamestown	Region 7: Bismarck	Region 8: Dickinson
C24a. (New) Does the community you live in currently have a	Yes	29.8%	12.1%	17.7%	6.5%	55.5%	47.1%	15.9%	19.5%	15.7%
sm oke-free ordinance that prohibits sm oking in all of the bars and	No	55.7%	73.3%	70.4%	78.2%	32.1%	37.6%	61.5%	68.4%	69.4%
lounges in your community?	Not sure, no response	14.5%	14.5%	11.9%	15.4%	12.4%	15.3%	22.7%	12.1%	14.9%
Total Responses		1728	73	235	108	248	444	170	347	103

2010 Results ONLY C24b: Weighted 18+ Statewide Results by Region

				A3	5. Regio	ns: State	wide Sa	mple O	nly	
		Total	Region 1: Williston	Region 2: Minot	Region 3: Devils Lake	Region 4: Grand Forks	Region 5: Fargo	Region 6: Jamestown	Region 7: Bismarck	Region 8: Dickinson
C24b. (New) Since all of	Much less	3.4%	6.1%			3.0%	2.2%	1.4%	6.2%	22.7%
the bars and lounges in your community have	Som ew hat less	2.4%	6.6%			1.2%	2.6%	4.7%	4.4%	3.2%
become completely	Just as often	58.3%	29.3%	68.8%	65.0%	56.2%	59.8%	67.9%	57.8%	29.0%
smoke-free, how has it	Som ew hat m ore	15.8%	26.5%	10.9%	9.5%	16.8%	17.7%	5.0%	12.3%	24.1%
now go out to a bar or	ffected how often you	8.2%	7.8%	2.3%	9.6%	9.1%	7.9%	8.9%	10.7%	6.2%
ounge? Not sure, no response	12.0%	23.8%	18.0%	16.0%	13.8%	9.9%	12.2%	8.6%	14.9%	
Total Responses		515	9	42	7	138	209	27	68	16

Includes all respondents 18+ years of age who reported their community IS totally smoke-free

2010 Results ONLY C25: Weighted 18+ Statewide Results by Region

				A3	5. Regio	ns: State	wide Sa	mple O	nly	
		Total	Region 1: Williston	Region 2: Minot	Region 3: Devils Lake	Region 4: Grand Forks	Region 5: Fargo	Region 6: Jamestown	Region 7: Bismarck	Region 8: Dickinson
C25. (New) Overall,	Strongly support	67.4%	58.3%	77.8%	52.4%	72.6%	61.2%	66.8%	68.7%	81.8%
how would you describe your reaction to the	Som ew hat support	14.3%	14.0%	8.9%	20.1%	10.8%	19.2%	30.7%	6.2%	
current sm oke-free	Som ewhat oppose	4.0%	1.9%	3.6%	3.5%	2.0%	4.9%		7.3%	3.2%
city ordinances in your	Strongly oppose	7.8%	19.8%	6.6%	15.0%	6.6%	7.5%		11.2%	15.0%
Have no reaction		6.5%	6.0%	3.1%	8.9%	8.0%	7.2%	2.5%	6.6%	
Total Responses		515	9	42	7	138	209	27	68	16

Includes all respondents 18+ years of age who reported their community IS totally smoke-free

2010 Results ONLY A24: Weighted 18+ Statewide Results by Region

				A3	5. Regio	ns: State	wide Sa	mple Oi	ıly	
		Total	Region 1: Williston	Region 2: Minot	Region 3: De vils Lake	Region 4: Grand Forks	Region 5: Fargo	Region 6: Jamestown	Region 7: Bismarck	Region 8: Dickinson
A24 (Q26 modified). If	Much less	4.1%	6.0%	5.1%	3.9%	1.3%	2.3%	3.8%	4.7%	8.1%
all bars and lounges in community became	Som ew hat less	2.4%	1.7%	1.7%	.9%	.4%	4.4%	1.9%	3.1%	2.2%
completely smoke-free,	Just as often	47.6%	45.6%	46.5%	52.4%	47.8%	48.6%	47.9%	47.9%	41.5%
how would that affect	Som ew hat more	21.1%	20.5%	24.5%	14.8%	23.6%	27.4%	23.1%	16.0%	15.1%
a bar or lounge?	bar or lounge? Much more	9.3%	4.3%	2.9%	11.6%	3.6%	6.3%	7.4%	17.1%	17.8%
	Not sure, No response	15.5%	21.9%	19.4%	16.4%	23.3%	11.1%	15.9%	11.3%	15.4%
Total Responses		1213	64	194	101	110	235	143	280	87

2006-2008: Includes all respondents 18+ years of age

2010: Includes all respondents 18+ years of age who reported their community is NOT totally smoke-free

2010 Results ONLY A25: Weighted 18+ Statewide Results by Region

				A3	5. Regio	ns: State	wide Sa	mple O	nly	
		Tota1	Region 1: Williston	Region 2: Minot	Region 3: Devils Lake	Region 4: Grand Forks	Region 5: Fargo	Region 6: Jamestown	Region 7: Bismarck	Region 8: Dickinson
A25 (N26b modified).	Strongly support	58.7%	46.8%	55.7%	58.4%	60.6%	59.9%	67.4%	57.2%	60.1%
What would be your reaction if a law was	Som ew hat support	14.2%	15.9%	11.6%	11.6%	14.1%	23.4%	13.3%	10.4%	11.4%
proposed in your	Som ew hat oppose	5.8%	9.1%	6.5%	7.4%	7.7%	3.3%	5.0%	5.8%	5.1%
community to eliminate	Strongly oppose	12.3%	19.8%	17.6%	14.5%	7.0%	9.2%	6.5%	13.4%	13.7%
all tobacco smoke from all indoor workplaces?	Have no reaction	8.2%	7.9%	7.5%	7.7%	10.6%	3.2%	7.9%	12.3%	8.9%
r 22.	Not sure, No response	.7%	.5%	1.1%	.4%		1.0%		1.0%	.8%
Total Responses		1213	64	194	101	110	235	143	280	87

2006-2008: Includes all respondents 18+ years of age

2010: Includes all respondents 18+ years of age who reported their community is NOT totally smoke-free

2010 Results ONLY CA24: Weight ed 18+ Statewide Results by Region

				A3	5. Regio	ns: State	wide Sa	mple O	nly	
		Tota1	Region 1: Williston	Region 2: Minot	Region 3: Devils Lake	Region 4: Grand Forks	Region 5: Fargo	Region 6: Jamestown	Region 7: Bismarck	Region 8: Dickinson
CA24 (C24b & A24	Much less	3.9%	6.0%	4.2%	3.7%	2.2%	2.2%	3.4%	5.0%	10.4%
combined). (Since/If) all bars and lounges in	Som ew hat less	2.4%	2.3%	1.4%	.9%	.8%	3.5%	2.4%	3.3%	2.3%
community became	Just as often	50.8%	43.7%	50.4%	53.2%	52.5%	53.8%	51.1%	49.8%	39.5%
completely smoke-free,	Som ew hat m ore	19.6%	21.2%	22.1%	14.4%	19.8%	22.9%	20.2%	15.3%	16.5%
how (has/would) that affect how often you go	Much more	8.9%	4.7%	2.8%	11.5%	6.7%	7.0%	7.6%	15.8%	15.9%
out to a bar or lounge?	Not sure, No response	14.4%	22.1%	19.2%	16.4%	18.0%	10.5%	15.3%	10.8%	15.3%
Total Responses		1728	73	235	108	248	444	170	347	103

2010 Results ONLY CA25: Weight ed 18+ Statewide Results by Region

				A3	5. Regio	ns: State	wide Sa	mple O	nly	
		Tota1	Region 1: Williston	Region 2: Minot	Region 3: De vils Lake	Region 4: Grand Forks	Region 5: Fargo	Region 6: Jamestown	Region 7: Bismarck	Region 8: Dickinson
CA25 (C25 & A25	Strongly support	61.3%	48.2%	59.6%	58.0%	67.3%	60.5%	67.3%	59.4%	63.5%
combined). What (is/would be) your	Som ew hat support	14.3%	15.7%	11.1%	12.1%	12.3%	21.5%	16.0%	9.6%	9.6%
reaction to your	Som ew hat oppose	5.2%	8.2%	6.0%	7.1%	4.6%	4.0%	4.2%	6.1%	4.8%
community eliminating	Strongly oppose	11.0%	19.8%	15.7%	14.6%	6.7%	8.4%	5.4%	12.9%	13.9%
all tobacco smoke from all indoor workplaces?	Have no reaction	7.7%	7.7%	6.7%	7.8%	9.1%	5.1%	7.0%	11.2%	7.5%
ļ	Not sure, No response	.5%	.4%	.9%	.4%		.5%		.8%	.7%
Total Responses		1728	73	235	108	248	444	170	347	103

2010 Results ONLY A26: Weighted 18+ Statewide Results by Region

				A3	5. Regio	ns: State	wide Sa	mple O	nly	
		Total	Region 1: Williston	Region 2: Minot	Region 3: Devils Lake	Region 4: Grand Forks	Region 5: Fargo	Region 6: Jamestown	Region 7: Bismarck	Region 8: Dickinson
A26. (N26, N26b	Strongly support	59.2%	46.4%	58.7%	59.5%	63.1%	58.2%	66.2%	56.9%	59.5%
modified) Overall, how would you describe your	Som ew hat support	13.3%	12.0%	9.9%	10.1%	10.9%	20.6%	14.2%	10.0%	9.1%
reaction to expanding the state smoke-free law	Som ew hat oppose	5.2%	7.6%	6.5%	5.9%	11.6%	2.0%	2.8%	4.8%	4.1%
to prohibit smoking in	Strongly oppose	15.3%	23.8%	17.6%	18.5%	8.6%	13.6%	9.3%	20.0%	18.0%
all North Dakota	Have no reaction	6.7%	9.8%	6.7%	6.0%	5.5%	5.1%	7.5%	8.0%	9.2%
1 / 5	Not sure, No response	.3%	.4%	.5%		.2%	.5%		.3%	
Total Responses	Total Responses		73	235	108	248	444	170	347	103

2010 Results ONLY C26a: Weighted 18+ Statewide Results by Region

				A3	5. Regio	ns: State	wide Sa	mple Oi	nly	
		Total	Region 1: Williston	Region 2: Minot	Region 3: Devils Lake	Region 4: Grand Forks	Region 5: Fargo	Region 6: Jamestown	Region 7: Bismarck	Region 8: Dickinson
C26a. (New) Have	Yes	72.0%	73.0%	71.1%	63.7%	70.5%	77.6%	64.4%	74.0%	66.8%
inside a sm oke-free	No	26.5%	25.9%	27.7%	34.5%	27.6%	21.4%	32.1%	25.2%	30.9%
	Not sure, no response	1.5%	1.1%	1.2%	1.8%	1.8%	1.0%	3.5%	.8%	2.3%
Total Responses		1728	73	235	108	248	444	170	347	103

2010 Results ONLY C26b: Weighted 18+ Statewide Results by Region

			A35. Regions: Statewide Sample Only								
C26h (New) In More likely to support		Total	Region 1: Williston	Region 2: Minot	Region 3: De vils Lake	Region 4: Grand Forks	Region 5: Fargo	Region 6: Jamestown	Region 7: Bismarck	Region 8: Dickinson	
C26b. (New) In	More likely to support	65.4%	59.5%	58.2%	62.5%	73.9%	64.3%	74.1%	64.5%	63.8%	
y our opinion, has	More likely to oppose	5.1%	10.4%	7.6%	6.7%	4.3%	3.5%	5.1%	4.5%	5.0%	
visiting a smoke-free bar or lounge made you	No impact on reaction to expanding state smoke-free law	29.4%	30.1%	34.3%	30.8%	21.7%	32.3%	20.8%	30.3%	31.2%	
	Not sure, no response	.1%							.6%		
Total Responses		1244	53	167	69	175	344	109	257	69	

Includes all respondents 18+ years of age who reported they HAVE visited a smoke-free bar or lounge

2010 Results ONLY A28a: Weight ed 18+ Statewide Results by Region

				A3	5. Regio	ns: State	wide Sa	Region 6: Jamestown ald moon of the property o								
		Total	Region 1: Williston	Region 2: Minot	Region 3: De vils Lake	Region 4: Grand Forks	Region 5: Fargo									
A28a (Q32a). Have	Yes	38.6%	47.4%	42.1%	40.6%	36.6%	38.7%	30.7%	40.0%	34.2%						
y ou sm oked at least 100 cigarettes in	No	61.2%	52.2%	57.6%	58.6%	62.8%	61.3%	69.3%	59.7%	65.2%						
y our entire life?	Not sure	.3%	.4%	.2%	.8%	.6%			.3%	.5%						
Total Responses		1728	73	235	108	248	444	170	347	103						

2010 Results ONLY A28b: Weighted 18+ Statewide Results by Region

				A3	5. Regio	ns: State	wide Sa	mple O	nly	
		Total	Region 1: Williston	Region 2: Minot	Region 3: Devik Lake	Region 4: Grand Forks	Region 5: Fargo	Region 6: Jamestown	Region 7: Bismarck	Region 8: Dickinson
A28b (Q32b). Do	Every day	26.0%	22.7%	34.5%	29.8%	21.2%	28.7%	15.6%	23.3%	25.3%
y ou now smoke	Some days	6.2%	4.3%	2.4%	5.9%	7.7%	7.8%	6.3%	7.5%	1.6%
c igar ettes	Not at all	67.6%	71.4%	63.1%	64.3%	71.1%	62.9%	78.1%	69.2%	73.1%
	Not sure, No response	.2%	1.6%				.6%			
Total Responses		666	35	99	44	91	172	52	139	35

Includes all respondents 18+ years of age who have smoked at least 100 cigarettes in their life

2010 Results ONLY B28b: Weighted 18+ Statewide Results by Region

		A35. Regions: Statewide Sample Only								
		Total	Region 1: Williston	Region 2: Minot	Region 3: Devils Lake	Region 4: Grand Forks	Region 5: Fargo	Region 6: Jamestown	Region 7: Bsmarck	Region 8: Dickinson
B28b (A28b/Q 32a	Every day	10.0%	10.8%	14.6%	12.1%	7.8%	11.1%	4.8%	9.3%	8.7%
modified - new in	Som e days	2.4%	2.1%	1.0%	2.4%	2.8%	3.0%	1.9%	3.0%	.5%
2008). Do you now smoke cigarettes	Not at all	87.5%	86.4%	84.4%	85.5%	89.4%	85.6%	93.3%	87.7%	90.8%
	Not sure, No response	.1%	.7%				.3%			
Total Responses		1728	73	235	108	248	444	170	347	103

Includes all respondents 18+ years of age

2010 Results ONLY
A29 (grouped): Weighted 18+ Statewide Results by Region

				A3	5. Regio	ns: State	wide Sa	m ple Oı	ıly	
		Tota1	Region 1: Williston	Region 2: Minot	Region 3: Devils Lake	Region 4: Grand Forks	Region 5: Fargo	Region 6: Jamestown	Region 7: Bismarck	Region 8: Dickinson
A29 grouped	Have never smoked	61.4%	52.6%	57.9%	59.4%	63.4%	61.3%	69.3%	60.0%	65.8%
(Q33). In an average day,	Don't smoke now	26.2%	34.5%	26.6%	26.1%	26.0%	24.6%	23.9%	27.7%	25.0%
roughly how many	Don't smoke daily	.1%		.4%						.8%
c igar ettes do y ou	1 pack or less	9.5%	10.1%	12.1%	12.2%	7.7%	11.9%	5.2%	8.3%	4.9%
now smoke?	More than 1 pack	2.5%	2.3%	1.8%	2.3%	2.8%	2.1%	1.5%	3.7%	3.5%
	Not sure, refused	.3%	.4%	1.2%			.2%		.3%	
Total Responses		1728	73	235	108	248	444	170	347	103

2010 Results ONLY
Demographic A30: Weighted 18+ Statewide Results by Region

				A3	5. Regio	ns: State	wide Sa	mple Oi	nly	
		Total	Region 1: Williston	Region 2: Minot	Region 3: Devils Lake	Region 4: Grand Forks	Region 5: Fargo	Region 6: Jamestown	Region 7: Bismarck	Region 8: Dickinson
A30 (Q34	18 - 24	15.2%	9.6%	14.4%	10.7%	20.9%	19.3%	11.2%	11.9%	11.9%
modified). Age	25 - 34	16.0%	12.0%	16.4%	14.0%	16.8%	19.1%	11.8%	15.4%	12.7%
	35 - 44	20.3%	21.7%	19.8%	20.8%	19.3%	20.2%	19.1%	21.8%	20.6%
	45 - 54	17.7%	20.1%	17.0%	17.7%	16.5%	17.0%	17.4%	19.4%	18.7%
	55 - 64	11.0%	13.1%	11.3%	12.7%	9.6%	9.3%	12.8%	11.8%	12.0%
	65 or older	19.5%	23.6%	20.6%	23.1%	16.5%	15.1%	27.6%	19.4%	23.1%
	Choose not to answer	.3%		.4%	.9%	.4%			.3%	1.0%
Total Responses		1728	73	235	108	248	444	170	347	103

2010 Results ONLY
Demographic A32: Weighted 18+ Statewide Results by Region

				A3	5. Regio	ns: State	wide Sa	mple O	nly	
		Tota l	Region 1: Williston	Region 2: Minot	Region 3: Devils Lake	Region 4: Grand Forks	Region 5: Fargo	Region 6: Jamestown	Region 7: Bismarck	Region 8: Dickinson
A32 (Q36). Highest level of education	Less than high school graudate	3.3%	3.3%	1.3%	6.2%	3.0%	3.6%	2.7%	3.1%	5.8%
, ,	High school graduate	24.2%	24.4%	22.5%	22.6%	23.5%	21.0%	32.0%	26.0%	26.3%
	Technical/trade school graduate, associates or 2-yr degree	14.1%	22.8%	6.1%	13.8%	15.9%	20.2%	9.9%	11.1%	12.9%
	Some college	18.3%	15.9%	31.4%	22.5%	20.0%	13.1%	15.7%	15.4%	17.6%
	College graduate (Bachelor's degree)	28.6%	25.3%	31.9%	25.7%	20.2%	29.5%	30.9%	31.8%	29.1%
	Some post-graduate work	1.3%	.7%	.5%	1.9%	1.4%	2.8%	1.3%		.5%
	Graduate degree	9.8%	7.6%	5.6%	6.9%	15.1%	10.0%	7.2%	12.1%	7.1%
	Not sure, No response	.4%		.8%	.4%	.9%		.4%	.4%	.7%
Total Responses		1728	73	235	108	248	444	170	347	103

2010 Results ONLY
Demographic A33: Weighted 18+ Statewide Results by Region

				A3	5. Regio	ns: State	wide Sa	mple Oı	nly							
		Tota l	Region 1: Williston	Region 2: Minot	Region 3: Devils Lake	Region 4: Grand Forks	Region 5: Fargo	Region 6: Jamestown	Region 7: Bismarck	Region 8: Dickinson						
A33 (Q37). Gender	Male	50.0%	50.0%	50.0%	50.0%	50.0%	50.0%	50.0%	50.0%	50.0%						
	Fem ale	50.0%	50.0%	50.0%	50.0%	50.0%	50.0%	50.0%	50.0%	50.0%						
Total Responses		1728	73	235	108	248	444	170	347	103						

2010 Results ONLY
Demographic A36b: Weighted 18+ Statewide Results by Region

				A3	5. Regio	ns: State	wide Sa	mple Oı	nly	
		Tota1	Region 1: Williston	Region 2: Minot	Region 3: Devils Lake	Region 4: Grand Forks	Region 5: Fargo	Region 6: Jamestown	Region 7: Bismarck	Region 8: Dickinson
A36b (Q39b). Zip	Ur ban (20,000+)	37.6%		47.6%		53.3%	46.7%		57.2%	
Code grouped into	City (5,000-19,999)	20.0%	47.3%		31.4%		19.3%	49.5%	16.0%	51.2%
community size.	Town (1,000-4,999)	18.0%	29.7%	29.7%	31.6%	29.7%	4.9%	16.6%	12.6%	17.5%
	Rural (<1,000)	24.4%	23.1%	22.7%	37.0%	17.0%	29.1%	33.9%	14.3%	31.3%
Total Responses		1728	73	235	108	248	444	170	347	103

Wahpeton: 2010 Weighted Contingency Tables by Demographics (Respondents 18+ years of age)

A11: Weighted City of Wahpeton Results by Age, Gender, Education & Smoking Status

					A30 (Q3	34 modi1	ñed). Ag	ge		A33 (Ger	- /		A3	2 (Q36)	. Highes	t level o	f educat	ion		m odi 2008)	(A28b/0 fied - ne . Do you e cigare	w in now
		Total	18 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 or older	Choose not to answer	Male	Female	Less than high school graudate	High school graduate	Technical/trade school graduate, associates or 2-yr degree	Some college	College graduate (Bachelor's degree)	Some post-graduate work	Graduate degree	Not sure, No re sponse	Everyday	Some days	Not at all
A11 (Q14 modified).	Very harmful	57.1%	47.6%	49.5%	68.5%	63.9%	61.3%	60.6%	100.0%	38.6%	77.8%	43.2%	62.4%	53.5%	51.5%	59.9%	74.7%	72.7%	61.9%	3.4%	13.9%	70.5%
What impact do you feel secondhand smoke	Harm ful	12.6%		22.8%	16.0%	14.9%	16.8%	20.3%		12.2%	13.1%	9.3%	8.2%	15.5%	23.4%	8.9%	25.3%	15.9%	18.7%	13.7%		13.3%
will have on health of nonsmoker if	Som ew hat harmful	25.2%	52.4%	14.8%	13.7%	12.8%	15.2%	11.3%		42.6%	5.6%	44.0%	24.7%	24.7%	11.6%	29.5%		8.5%	12.2%	64.3%	82.8%	13.5%
nonsmoker works in	Not very harmful	3.0%		10.9%		4.6%	5.0%	2.3%		4.2%	1.6%	1.5%	1.3%	5.7%	5.4%			3.0%	7.2%	13.7%	3.3%	.9%
bar, lounge, or other public place where	Not at all harmful	1.7%			1.8%	3.8%		5.6%		2.2%	1.2%	2.0%	2.4%	.6%	6.2%	1.7%				2.9%		1.6%
smoking is allowed?	Not sure, no response	.4%		2.0%			1.7%			.3%	.6%		1.1%		1.9%					1.9%		.2%
Total Responses		360	110	52	58	51	29	59	2	190	170	34	96	118	26	60	4	16	5	55	20	285

A12: Weighted City of Wahpeton Results by Age, Gender, Education & Smoking Status

				,	A30 (Q3	4 modif	ied). Ag	e		A33 (Ger	~ /		A3	2 (Q36)	. Highes	t le ve l o	f educa	ion		m od: 2008)	(A28b/ ified - ne . Do you e cigare	ew in u now
		Total	18 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 or older	Choose not to answer	Male	Female	Less than high school graudate	High school graduate	Technical/trade school graduate, associates or 2-yr degree	Some college	College graduate (Bachelor's degree)	Some post-graduate work	Graduate degree	Notsure, No response	Everyday	Some days	Not at all
A12 (Q15 modified).	Very harmful	46.1%	39.0%	34.7%	51.4%	55.1%	59.6%	48.3%	100.0%	31.6%	62.5%	39.3%	50.5%	41.6%	40.4%	48.4%	40.5%	69.1%	49.8%	2.8%	7.0%	57.3%
What impact do you feel secondhand smoke will	Harm ful	20.7%	8.6%	39.6%	28.5%	17.1%	18.5%	23.6%		17.0%	24.8%	11.3%	18.5%	24.7%	33.4%	15.9%	44.6%	15.2%	18.7%	15.5%	6.9%	22.7%
have on health of nonsmoker if	Som ew hat harmful	26.2%	52.4%	14.8%	14.9%	15.9%	13.5%	14.6%		42.5%	8.0%	44.0%	24.7%	25.9%	14.2%	32.2%		4.2%	24.3%	61.3%	80.9%	15.5%
nonsmoker frequently	Not very harm ful	4.9%		10.9%	3.5%	9.1%	5.0%	6.8%		6.5%	3.1%	3.4%	3.3%	7.5%	7.6%	.7%	14.9%	7.2%	7.2%	18.3%	3.3%	2.4%
visits bar, lounge, or other public place where	Not at all harm ful	1.7%			1.8%	2.7%	1.7%	5.6%		1.8%	1.6%	2.0%	2.9%	.3%	2.5%	1.7%		4.2%		2.1%	1.9%	1.6%
smoking is allowed?	Not sure, no response	.3%					1.7%	1.1%		.6%					1.9%	1.1%						.4%
Total Responses	•	360	110	52	58	51	29	59	2	190	170	34	96	118	26	60	4	16	5	55	20	285

A18: Weighted City of Wahpeton Results by Age, Gender, Education & Smoking Status

				_	A30 (Q3	34 modil	ñed). Ag	ge		A33 (Ger			A3	2 (Q36)	. Highes	t le ve l o	f educat	ion		m odi 2008)	(A28b/ ified - ne . Do you e cigare	ew in u now
		Total	18 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 or older	Choose not to answer	Male	Female	Less than high school graudate	High school graduate	Technical/trade school graduate, associates or 2-yr degree	Some college	College graduate (Bachelor's degree)	Some post-graduate work	Graduate degree	Notsure, No response	Everyday	Some days	Not at all
A18 (Q6 m odified). In your opinion, where	Not allowed in building	54.4%	61.0%	37.6%	59.3%	55.6%	46.7%	56.2%		66.2%	41.0%	57.7%	58.2%	54.9%	52.6%	54.2%	14.9%	39.3%	38.7%	83.1%	19.6%	51.3%
should smoking be prohibited for private businesses and other	Not allowed in building or on grounds	37.0%	30.3%	40.6%	37.2%	37.9%	49.8%	37.0%	100.0%	23.6%	52.1%	14.7%	39.8%	33.8%	35.7%	41.4%	85.1%	60.7%	49.1%	4.9%	77.6%	40.3%
non-government offices?	Not sure, no response	8.6%	8.6%	21.8%	3.5%	6.5%	3.5%	6.8%		10.2%	6.9%	27.6%	2.0%	11.3%	11.7%	4.4%			12.2%	12.0%	2.8%	8.4%
Total Responses		360	110	52	58	51	29	59	2	190	170	34	96	118	26	60	4	16	5	55	20	285

A22: Weighted City of Wahpeton Results by Age, Gender, Education & Smoking Status

					A30 (Q3	34 modif	ied). Ag	ge.		A33 (Ger			A3	2 (Q36)	. Highes	t level o	f educat	ion		m odi 2008)	(A28b/0 ified - ne . Do you re cigare	ew in u now
		Total	18 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 or older	Choose not to answer	Male	Female	Less than high school graudate	High school graduate	Technical/trade school graduate, associates or 2-yr degree	Some college	College graduate (Bachelor's degree)	Some post-graduate work	Graduate degree	Not sure, No re sponse	Everyda y	Some days	Notatall
A22 (N9b modified). Which one of the next two statements	Bar owners have right to decide whether or not people smoke	26.0%	13.1%	33.7%	26.8%	36.7%	33.4%	30.4%		33.6%	17.4%	6.4%	22.5%	35.1%	35.0%	18.1%	35.8%	25.1%	50.2%	67.1%	10.5%	19.1%
do you feel comes closer to your personal point of view?	Employees/non-smokers have right to breathe clean air	68.3%	86.9%	53.5%	64.2%	55.7%	61.6%	64.0%	100.0%	61.7%	75.8%	91.1%	75.0%	54.9%	52.4%	81.3%	64.2%	66.0%	42.6%	28.0%	85.4%	74.9%
Y AC WY !	Not sure/Both equally/Neither/No response	5.7%		12.9%	9.0%	7.7%	5.0%	5.6%		4.7%	6.8%	2.5%	2.4%	10.0%	12.6%	.7%		8.9%	7.2%	4.9%	4.1%	6.0%
Total Responses		360	110	52	58	51	29	59	2	190	170	34	96	118	26	60	4	16	5	55	20	285

C24a: Weighted City of Wahpeton Results by Age, Gender, Education & Smoking Status

				I	A30 (Q3	4 modifi	ed). Ag	e		A33 (Ger	- /		A3	2 (Q36)	. Highes	t level o	f educ at	ion		m odi 2008)	(A28b/0 fied - ne . Do you e cigare	ew in u now
		Tota1	18 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 or older	Choose not to answer	Male	Female	Less than high school graudate	High school graduate	Technical/trade school graduate, associates or 2-yr degree	Some college	College graduate (Bachelor's degree)	Some post-graduate work	Graduate degree	Not sure, No re sponse	Everyday	Some days	Notatall
C24a. (New) Does the community you live in currently have a	Yes	20.5%	30.3%	14.8%	17.4%	16.5%	10.0%	18.0%	50.0%	17.2%	24.3%	34.0%	36.2%	11.7%	14.3%	10.8%		15.2%	18.3%	11.7%	6.1%	23.3%
smoke-free ordinance that prohibits smoking in all of the bars and	No	55.4%	47.9%	79.2%	59.9%	57.9%	66.8%	38.3%		65.8%	43.8%	13.7%	44.7%	72.8%	44.1%	68.5%	51.4%	51.2%	57.4%	60.0%	92.0%	51.9%
lounges in your community?	Not sure, no response	24.0%	21.7%	5.9%	22.6%	25.6%	23.2%	43.8%	50.0%	17.0%	32.0%	52.3%	19.1%	15.4%	41.6%	20.7%	48.6%	33.6%	24.3%	28.4%	1.9%	24.8%
Total Responses		360	110	52	58	51	29	59	2	190	170	34	96	118	26	60	4	16	5	55	20	285

C24b: Weighted City of Wahpeton Results by Age, Gender, Education & Smoking Status

					A30 (Q3	4 modií	ied). Ag	e		A33 (Ger			A32 ((Q36). I	lighest lev	vel of ed	lucation		m od 2008)	(A28b/ ified - no Do you	ew in u now
		Total	18 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 or older	Choose not to answer	Male	Female	Less than high school graudate	High school graduate	Technical/trade school graduate, associates or 2-yr degree	Some college	College graduate (Bachelor's degree)	Graduate degree	Not sure, No re sponse	Everyday	Some days	Notat all
` /	Much less	3.3%			8.3%		33.3%	6.2%		1.5%	4.8%	4.3%		14.3%					15.0%	68.1%	1.0%
the bars and lounges in your community have	Som ew hat less	2.3%			10.1%			6.2%		3.1%	1.6%	8.8%	1.9%						15.9%		1.0%
become completely	Just as often	47.1%	43.2%	73.3%	46.7%	53.4%	33.3%	43.9%		87.3%	15.1%	5.8%	52.9%	66.3%	100.0%	22.5%	55.9%		48.4%	31.9%	47.3%
smoke-free, how has it	Som ew hat m ore	6.3%		13.4%	8.3%	25.7%		6.2%		1.7%	10.0%		3.5%	5.7%		34.9%	16.4%				7.0%
affected how often you now go out to a bar or	Much more	16.0%	28.4%			16.2%			100.0%	1.7%	27.3%		29.8%	2.8%				100.0%			17.8%
	Not sure, no response	25.0%	28.4%	13.4%	26.6%	4.7%	33.3%	37.4%		4.6%	41.3%	81.2%	11.9%	10.9%		42.5%	27.7%		20.7%		25.9%
Total Responses		74	33	8	10	8	3	11	1	33	41	12	35	14	4	6	2	1	6	1	66

Includes all respondents 18+ years of age who reported their community IS totally smoke-free

C25: Weighted City of Wahpeton Results by Age, Gender, Education & Smoking Status

					A30 (Q3	34 modif	ied). Ag	e		A33 (Ger	- /		A32 (0	Q36). H	ighest le	vel of ec	lucation		m od 2008)	(A28b/ ified - no Do yo ke cigare	ew in u now
	Total	18 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 or older	Choose not to answer	Male	Female	Less than high school graudate	High school graduate	Technical/trade school graduate, associates or 2-yr degree	Some college	College graduate (Bachelor's degree)	Graduate degree	Not sure, No response	Everyday	Some days	Not at all	
	Strongly support	58.1%	71.6%	13.4%	34.9%	77.0%	17.2%	62.6%	100.0%	61.8%	55.1%	87.0%	54.3%	34.8%	50.3%	79.3%	44.4%	100.0%	23.4%	31.9%	61.9%
how would you describe your reaction to the	Som ew hat support	5.3%		13.4%	8.3%	4.7%	33.3%	6.2%		1.5%	8.2%	4.3%	6.2%	2.8%	22.3%				20.9%		3.8%
	Som ew hat oppose	17.2%	28.4%		8.3%		16.1%	18.8%		2.0%	29.3%		29.0%	14.3%			28.0%		7.3%	68.1%	17.3%
city ordinances in your	Strongly oppose	4.1%			10.1%	18.3%	16.1%			6.6%	2.1%		5.7%		27.4%				22.2%		2.4%
c om m unity?	Have no reaction	5.7%			30.2%		17.2%	6.2%		10.9%	1.6%	8.8%	4.8%	7.4%		7.7%			26.2%		3.8%
	Not sure, no response	9.6%		73.3%	8.3%			6.2%		17.2%	3.6%			40.8%		13.0%	27.7%				10.8%
Total Responses		74	33	8	10	8	3	11	1	33	41	12	35	14	4	6	2	1	6	1	66

Includes all respondents 18+ years of age who reported their community IS totally smoke-free

A24: Weighted City of Wahpeton Results by Age, Gender, Education & Smoking Status

				1	A30 (Q3	4 modif	ĭed). Ag	e		A33 (Ger	- /		A3	2 (Q36)	. Highes	t leve l o	f educat	ion		m od: 2008)	(A28b/0 ified - ne . Do you e cigare	ew in 1 now
		Total	18 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 or older	Choose not to answer	Male	Fema le	Less than high school graudate	High school graduate	Technical/trade school graduate, associates or 2-yr degree	Some college	Colle ge graduate (Bache lor's degree)	Some post-graduate work	Graduate de gree	Not sure, No response	Everyday	Some days	Notatall
A24 (Q26 modified). If	Much less	4.5%		17.4%		8.5%	5.8%			6.3%	2.2%		6.9%	7.2%	4.7%					22.0%		1.0%
all bars and lounges in community became	Som ew hat less	.9%			2.1%	2.3%		1.4%		1.0%	.8%			1.9%	2.9%					1.2%		.9%
completely smoke-free,	Just as often	32.1%	12.4%	26.7%	40.5%	33.2%	42.7%	53.5%		27.1%	38.2%	18.5%	41.3%	27.1%	31.1%	31.1%	48.6%	36.6%	76.3%	14.0%	22.2%	36.9%
how would that affect	Som ew hat m ore	19.5%	12.4%	21.0%	26.8%	30.3%	22.2%	9.6%	100.0%	11.2%	29.6%	5.9%	13.7%	23.3%	13.8%	20.9%	29.7%	42.7%	8.8%	2.1%	2.6%	24.8%
how often you go out to a bar or lounge?	Muc h m or e	22.0%	37.6%	32.5%	22.9%	10.5%	14.7%	1.4%		30.6%	11.5%	2.9%	28.2%	18.1%	9.4%	42.2%	11.2%	7.2%			75.2%	22.3%
	Not sure, No response	21.1%	37.6%	2.3%	7.7%	15.2%	14.6%	34.2%		23.8%	17.8%	72.6%	9.9%	22.4%	38.0%	5.8%	10.5%	13.5%	15.0%	60.7%		14.1%
Total Responses	•	286	76	44	48	42	26	49	1	158	128	23	62	104	23	53	4	13	4	49	19	218

2010: Includes all respondents 18+ years of age who reported their community is NOT totally smoke-free

A25: Weighted City of Wahpeton Results by Age, Gender, Education & Smoking Status

					A30 (Q3	4 modif	ied). Ag	ge		A33 (Ger	- /		A3	2 (Q36)	. Highes	t leve l o	f educat	ion		m od: 2008)	(A28b/0 ified - ne Do you te cigare	ew in a now
		Total	18 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 or older	Choose not to answer	Male	Fema le	Less than high school graudate	High school graduate	Technical/trade school graduate, associates or 2-yr degree	Some college	Colle ge graduate (Bachelor's degree)	Some post-graduate work	Graduate de gree	Not sure, No response	Everyday	Some days	Notatall
A25 (N26b modified).	Strongly support	56.9%	50.0%	60.5%	65.8%	59.6%	60.7%	50.6%	100.0%	49.5%	66.1%	20.7%	51.7%	57.1%	56.0%	77.0%	64.2%	71.1%	23.6%	.8%	88.9%	66.6%
What would be your reaction if a law was	Som ew hat support	16.7%	31.2%	4.7%	14.1%	11.5%	9.5%	16.4%		16.0%	17.7%	69.7%	23.3%	6.7%	15.5%	7.5%	14.9%	12.7%	22.9%	33.3%		14.5%
proposed in your	Som ew hat oppose	2.6%		4.7%		5.3%	9.1%	1.4%		2.2%	3.0%		4.3%	2.6%		.9%	10.5%	3.5%	15.0%	5.4%		2.2%
community to eliminate	Strongly oppose	10.8%		27.9%	8.5%	13.6%	11.3%	12.4%		15.7%	4.9%		11.6%	15.1%	9.7%	8.4%		3.5%	23.6%	25.7%	7.6%	7.8%
all tobacco smoke from all indoor workplaces?	Have no reaction	12.3%	18.8%	2.3%	11.6%	10.0%	7.6%	16.5%		16.4%	7.2%	9.6%	9.2%	17.8%	16.5%	5.0%	10.5%	9.2%	15.0%	34.8%	3.5%	8.0%
	Not sure, No response	.6%					1.9%	2.7%		.3%	1.0%			.6%	2.2%	1.2%						.8%
Total Responses		286	76	44	48	42	26	49	1	158	128	23	62	104	23	53	4	13	4	49	19	218

2010: Includes all respondents 18+ years of age who reported their community is NOT totally smoke-free

CA24: Weighted City of Wahpeton Results by Age, Gender, Education & Smoking Status

				A	130 (Q3	4 modifi	ed). Age	e		1	Q37). ider		A3	2 (Q36)	. Highes	t level o	f educat	ion		m od: 2008)	(A28b/0 ified - ne . Do you e cigare	ew in 1 now
		Total	18 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 or older	Choose not to answer	Male	Female	Less than high school graudate	High school graduate	Technical/trade school graduate, associates or 2-yr degree	Some college	Colle ge graduate (Bachelor's degree)	Some post-graduate work	Graduate degree	Not sure, No response	Everyday	Some days	Notatall
CA24 (C24b & A24	Much less	4.2%		14.8%	1.4%	7.1%	8.5%	1.1%		5.5%	2.8%	1.5%	4.4%	8.0%	4.0%					21.2%	4.1%	1.0%
combined). (Since/If) all bars and lounges in	Som ew hat less	1.2%			3.5%	1.9%		2.2%		1.4%	1.0%	3.0%	.7%	1.7%	2.5%					2.9%		1.0%
community became	Just as often	35.1%	21.7%	33.7%	41.6%	36.5%	41.8%	51.8%		37.4%	32.6%	14.2%	45.5%	31.7%	41.0%	30.1%	48.6%	39.5%	62.3%	18.0%	22.8%	39.3%
completely smoke-free,	Som ew hat m ore	16.8%	8.6%	19.8%	23.5%	29.5%	20.0%	9.0%	50.0%	9.6%	24.8%	3.9%	10.0%	21.2%	11.9%	22.4%	29.7%	38.7%	7.2%	1.9%	2.5%	20.7%
how (has/would) that affect how often you go	Much more	20.8%	34.8%	27.7%	18.9%	11.5%	13.3%	1.1%	50.0%	25.6%	15.3%	1.9%	28.8%	16.3%	8.1%	37.7%	11.2%	6.1%	18.3%		70.7%	21.2%
out to a bar or lounge?	Not sure, No response	21.9%	34.8%	4.0%	11.0%	13.5%	16.5%	34.8%		20.5%	23.5%	75.5%	10.6%	21.0%	32.5%	9.7%	10.5%	15.7%	12.2%	56.1%		16.8%
Total Responses		360	110	52	58	51	29	59	2	190	170	34	96	118	26	60	4	16	5	55	20	285

CA25: Weighted City of Wahpeton Results by Age, Gender, Education & Smoking Status

				1	A30 (Q3	4 modif	ied). Ag	ge		A33 (Gen	- /		A3	2 (Q36)	. Highes	t level o	f educ at	ion		m odi 2008)	(A28b/0 ified - ne . Do you e cigare	ew in a now
		Tota1	18 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 or older	Choose not to answer	Male	Female	Less than high school graudate	High school graduate	Technical/trade school graduate, associates or 2-yr degree	Some college	College graduate (Bachelor's degree)	Some post-graduate work	Graduate degree	Not sure, No response	Everyday	Some days	Not at all
CA25 (C25 & A25	Strongly support	57.2%	56.6%	53.5%	60.4%	62.5%	56.3%	52.8%	100.0%	51.6%	63.4%	43.2%	52.6%	54.5%	55.2%	77.2%	64.2%	67.0%	37.5%	3.4%	85.4%	65.5%
combined). What (is/would be) your	Som ew hat support	14.4%	21.7%	5.9%	13.1%	10.3%	11.9%	14.6%		13.5%	15.4%	47.4%	17.1%	6.3%	16.5%	6.7%	14.9%	10.8%	18.7%	31.8%		12.0%
reaction to your	Som ew hat oppose	5.6%	8.6%	4.0%	1.4%	4.5%	9.8%	4.5%		2.1%	9.4%		13.2%	3.9%		.8%	10.5%	7.2%	12.2%	5.6%	4.1%	5.7%
community eliminating	Strongly oppose	9.5%		23.7%	8.8%	14.4%	11.8%	10.1%		14.1%	4.2%		9.4%	13.4%	12.2%	7.5%		3.0%	19.3%	25.3%	7.2%	6.6%
all tobacco smoke from all indoor workplaces?	Have no reaction	10.9%	13.1%	2.0%	14.9%	8.4%	8.5%	14.6%		15.4%	5.9%	9.3%	7.6%	16.6%	14.2%	5.3%	10.5%	7.8%	12.2%	33.8%	3.3%	7.1%
r	Not sure, No response	2.5%		10.9%	1.4%		1.7%	3.4%		3.2%	1.7%			5.3%	1.9%	2.5%		4.2%				3.1%
Total Responses	•	360	110	52	58	51	29	59	2	190	170	34	96	118	26	60	4	16	5	55	20	285

A26: Weighted City of Wahpeton Results by Age, Gender, Education & Smoking Status

					A30 (Q3	4 modif	ied). Ag	ge		A33 (- /		A3	2 (Q36)	. Highes	t leve l o	f educat	ion		m odi 2008)	(A28b/ ified - ne Do you e cigare	ew in u now
		Total	18 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 or older	Choose not to answer	Male	Female	Less than high school graudate	High school graduate	Technical/trade school graduate, associates or 2-yr degree	Some college	College graduate (Bachelor's degree)	Some post-graduate work	Graduate degree	Not sure, No response	Everyday	Some days	Notatall
A26. (N26, N26b	Strongly support	53.5%	43.4%	53.5%	62.1%	60.6%	59.8%	52.8%	100.0%	44.8%	63.2%	15.6%	63.4%	54.1%	50.5%	56.3%	64.2%	60.5%	56.3%	3.8%	85.4%	60.8%
modified) Overall, how would you describe your	Som ew hat support	16.1%	30.3%	4.0%	16.0%	6.9%	6.7%	13.5%		12.7%	19.9%	76.6%	14.1%	8.1%	7.6%	5.5%		21.5%		29.5%	4.1%	14.4%
reaction to expanding the state smoke-free law	Som ew hat oppose	3.0%		2.0%	1.8%	4.9%	8.2%	6.7%		2.4%	3.7%		3.3%	1.3%	6.4%	2.6%	25.3%	7.2%	12.2%	2.6%	1.9%	3.2%
to prohibit smoking in	Strongly oppose	11.0%		25.7%	10.2%	17.4%	15.2%	12.4%		15.2%	6.3%		11.6%	14.7%	19.9%	7.5%		3.0%	19.3%	32.2%	8.5%	7.1%
all North Dakota workplaces, including	Have no reaction	15.9%	26.2%	14.8%	9.9%	10.2%	8.4%	12.4%		24.6%	6.1%	7.8%	7.5%	21.2%	13.8%	27.1%	10.5%	7.8%	12.2%	32.0%		13.9%
bars and lounges?	Not sure, No response	.5%					1.7%	2.2%		.3%	.8%			.6%	1.9%	1.1%						.6%
Total Responses		360	110	52	58	51	29	59	2	190	170	34	96	118	26	60	4	16	5	55	20	285

C26a: Weighted City of Wahpeton Results by Age, Gender, Education & Smoking Status

				F	A30 (Q3	4 modifi	ied). Ag	e		A33 (Ge1	Q37). nder									B28b (A28b/Q32a modified - new in 2008). Do you now smoke cigarettes			
		Total	18 - 24	25-34	35-44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 or older	Choose not to answer	Male	Female	Less than high school graudate	High school graduate	Technical/trade school graduate, associates or 2-yr degree	Some college	College graduate (Bachebr's degree)	Some post-graduate work	Graduate degree	Notsure, No response	Everyday	Some days	Not at all	
C26a. (New) Have	Yes	73.4%	69.7%	98.0%	84.9%	79.2%	83.5%	38.2%	50.0%	80.7%	65.2%	56.8%	58.8%	86.0%	70.7%	84.3%	66.3%	83.1%	33.1%	95.2%	21.0%	72.9%	
y ou ever been inside a smoke-free	No	24.5%	30.3%	2.0%	13.6%	17.8%	11.5%	55.0%	50.0%	17.4%	32.4%	43.2%	40.7%	12.0%	22.8%	13.9%	14.9%	12.7%	54.7%	4.8%	79.0%	24.4%	
bar or lounge?	Not sure, no response	2.2%			1.4%	3.0%	5.0%	6.8%		1.9%	2.4%		.5%	2.0%	6.6%	1.8%	18.9%	4.2%	12.2%	·		2.7%	
Total Responses		360	110	52	58	51	29	59	2	190	170	34	96	118	26	60	4	16	5	55	20	285	

C26b: Weighted City of Wahpeton Results by Age, Gender, Education & Smoking Status

		A30 (Q34 modified). Age								Q37). ider	A32 (Q36). Highest level of education							B28b (A28b/Q32a modified - new in 2008). Do you now smoke cigarettes				
		Total	18 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 44	45 - 54	55 - 64	65 or older	Choose not to answer	Male	Female	Less than high school graudate	High school graduate	Technical/trade school graduate, associates or 2-yr degree	Some college	Colle ge graduate (Bachelor's degree)	Some post-graduate work	Graduate degree	Not sure, No response	Everyday	Some days	Notatall
C26b. (New) In your	More likely to support	60.3%	43.5%	54.6%	70.8%	74.0%	69.6%	70.6%	100.0%	46.0%	80.2%	13.7%	75.2%	57.3%	59.3%	61.9%	84.2%	82.7%	21.7%	3.6%	46.3%	74.9%
opinion, has visiting a smoke-free bar or	More likely to oppose	3.9%		4.0%	3.8%	6.7%	10.1%	5.9%		2.8%	5.5%		7.0%	2.7%	15.4%	.8%	15.8%			13.5%	42.0%	.7%
lounge made you	No impact on reaction to expanding state smoke-free law	35.8%	56.5%	41.4%	25.4%	19.3%	20.3%	23.6%		51.2%	14.3%	86.3%	17.8%	40.0%	25.4%	37.4%		17.3%	78.3%	82.9%	11.7%	24.4%
Total Responses		264	76	51	49	40	24	23	1	154	110	19	57	101	19	50	3	13	2	52	4	207

Includes all respondents 18+ years of age who reported they HAVE visited a smoke-free bar or lounge

A28a: W eighted Cavalier CountyResults by Age, Gender, Education & Smoking Status

					A30 (C	Q34 modifi	ed). Age			A33 (Ger	'	A32 (Q36). Highest level of education								
		Total	18-24	25-34	35-44	45 - 54	55-64	65 or older	Choose notto answer	Male	Female	Less than high school graudate	High school graduate	Technical/tradeschool graduate, associates or 2-yr degree	Some college	College graduate (Bachelor's degree)	Some postgraduate work	Graduate degree	Not sure, No response	
A28a (Q32a). Have you	Yes	47.6%	52.4%	56.4%	34.3%	42.0%	46.9%	50.6%		62.5%	30.8%	64.6%	43.1%	49.7%	50.0%	39.4%	25.3%	61.9%	31.0%	
s moked at least 100	No	52.1%	47.6%	43.6%	65.7%	56.1%	53.1%	49.4%	100.0%	37.2%	68.9%	35.4%	56.9%	49.8%	50.0%	60.6%	74.7%	38.1%	61.9%	
cigarettes in your entire life?	Not sure	.3%				1.9%				.3%	.2%			.5%					7.2%	
Total Responses		360	110	52	58	51	29	59	2	190	170	34	96	118	26	60	4	16	5	

A28b: Weighted Cavalier County Results by Age, Gender, Education & Smoking Status

				A3	0 (Q34 ma	odified). A	.ge			(Q37). nder	A32 (Q36). Highest level of education									
		Total	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65 or older	Male	Female	Less than high school graudate	High school graduate	Technical/trade school graduate, as sociates or 2-yr degree	Some college	College graduate (Bachelor's degree)	Some post-graduate work	Graduate degree	Not sure, No response		
A28b (Q32b). Do	Everyday	32.1%	50.0%	33.3%	27.1%	26.2%	21.6%	8.9%	35.2%	25.1%	75.8%	19.8%	39.3%	43.2%	1.7%			60.5%		
you now smoke	Some days	11.9%	25.0%	3.5%	9.3%	9.0%	3.7%	2.2%	14.9%	5.1%		35.5%	5.0%	4.3%	6.5%		5.8%			
cigarettes	Not at all	56.0%	25.0%	63.2%	63.6%	64.7%	74.7%	88.9%	50.0%	69.8%	24.2%	44.7%	55.7%	52.5%	91.9%	100.0%	94.2%	39.5%		
Total Responses		171	57	29	20	21	14	30	119	52	22	42	58	13	24	1	10	2		

Includes all respondents 18+ years of age who have smoked at least 100 cigarettes in their life

B28b to A29: Weighted Cavalier County Results by Age, Gender, Education & Smoking Status

					A30 (C	Q34 modifi	ed). Age			A33 (Gen	. ,	A32 (Q36). Highest level of education									
	i ci		18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65 or older	Choose not to ans wer	Male	Female	Less than high school graudate	High school graduate	Technical/trade school graduate, associates or 2-yr degree	Some college	College graduate (Bachelor's degree)	Some post-graduate work	Graduate degree	Not sure, No response		
B28b (A28b/Q32a modified	Everyday	15.3%	26.2%	18.8%	9.3%	11.0%	10.1%	4.5%		22.0%	7.7%	49.0%	8.5%	19.5%	21.6%	.7%			18.7%		
- new in 2008). Do you now	Some days	5.6%	13.1%	2.0%	3.2%	3.8%	1.7%	1.1%		9.3%	1.6%		15.3%	2.5%	2.1%	2.5%		3.6%			
smoke cigarettes	Not at all	79.1%	60.7%	79.2%	87.5%	85.2%	88.1%	94.4%	100.0%	68.7%	90.7%	51.0%	76.1%	78.0%	76.3%	96.8%	100.0%	96.4%	81.3%		
A29 grouped (Q33). In an	Have never smoked	52.4%	47.6%	43.6%	65.7%	58.0%	53.1%	49.4%	100.0%	37.5%	69.2%	35.4%	56.9%	50.3%	50.0%	60.6%	74.7%	38.1%	69.0%		
average day, roughly how	Don't smoke now	26.7%	13.1%	35.6%	21.8%	27.2%	35.1%	45.0%		31.2%	21.5%	15.6%	19.3%	27.7%	26.3%	36.2%	25.3%	58.3%	12.2%		
many cigarettes do you now smoke?	Don't smoke daily	.2%						1.1%		.4%				.6%							
SHIONG:	1 pack or less	16.0%	26.2%	20.8%	12.5%	12.6%	6.8%	4.5%		22.3%	9.0%	49.0%	23.3%	8.7%	17.9%	3.2%		3.6%	18.7%		
	More than 1 pack	4.7%	13.1%			2.2%	5.1%			8.7%	.3%		.5%	12.7%	5.8%						
Total Responses		360	110	52	58	51	29	59	2	190	170	34	96	118	26	60	4	16	5		